Sustainable Financial Management In MSMEs: A Conceptual Literature Review On Financial Literacy, Fintech Adoption, Working-Capital Discipline, And Organizational Learning Capability

Cahyani Tunggal Sari[1*], Wanuri[2], Achmad Junaidi[3]
[1,2,3]Science Technology Economy Digital Indonesia University, Semarang
[1)]cahyani031084@gmail.com, [2)]wanurione3@gmail.com,
[3)]achmad.junaidi23@gmail.com

Abstract

Micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) play a critical role in employment and inclusive growth, yet many remain financially fragile due to limited financial capability, informal recordkeeping, constrained access to finance, and weak cash-flow routines. This conceptual literature review synthesizes international evidence on four interrelated capability domains shaping MSME financial sustainability: financial literacy, fintech adoption, working-capital discipline, and organizational learning capability. Guided by a simplified scoping logic aligned with PRISMA-ScR principles, the review maps core theoretical lenses human capital and financial capability, technology acceptance and trust, working-capital management, and organizational learning and integrates them into a coherent explanatory framework. Across the literature, financial literacy supports better outcomes primarily when translated into routinized financial management capability, while fintech adoption enhances efficiency and transaction visibility but remains contingent on trust, perceived risk, and facilitating conditions. Working-capital discipline consistently appears as the operational bridge connecting capability to liquidity resilience and profitability. Organizational learning capability functions as a meta-capability that stabilizes practice change and sustains the benefits of literacy and digital tool usage over time. The paper concludes with implications for MSME development programs and fintech ecosystem design and outlines a research agenda emphasizing mechanisms, boundary conditions, and resilience outcomes.

Keywords: *MSMEs; financial literacy; working capital management; organizational learning capability*

Introduction

MSMEs are widely acknowledged as engines of employment and inclusive growth, but persistent financial fragility limits their productivity and survival. Many MSMEs operate with thin liquidity buffers, informal accounting, and weak financial planning, which reduce decision quality in pricing, cost control, and debt management (Berger & Udell, 2006; Beck et al., 2005). Limited access to finance and reliance on relationship-based lending can further constrain MSME growth, especially when information asymmetry is high (Petersen & Rajan, 1994; Beck et al., 2005).

Crisis evidence has underscored how liquidity and cash discipline shape MSME resilience. During disruptive shocks, precautionary cash holdings and the ability to manage cash conversion become decisive for continuity, especially where revenues

fall quickly but fixed obligations remain (Cowling et al., 2020; Kuckertz et al., 2020). This suggests that MSME sustainability should be understood not only through profitability metrics but also through the quality of cash-flow routines and working-capital discipline (Deloof, 2003; Enqvist et al., 2014).

At the same time, fintech has expanded the availability of digital payments, transaction records, and alternative financial services, often framed as an inclusion mechanism. Yet adoption and sustained use are uneven, particularly when trust, perceived risk, and capability constraints are present (Gefen et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2008; Nugraha et al., 2022). Fintech ecosystem research highlights that digital finance reshapes business models and introduces new managerial and governance challenges, implying that capability and trust infrastructure matter for performance gains (Lee & Shin, 2018; Gomber et al., 2018).

These dynamics motivate a capability-bundle perspective: MSME financial sustainability is likely driven by combinations of (a) financial literacy and capability, (b) fintech adoption under trust and enabling conditions, (c) working-capital discipline as an operational bridge, and (d) organizational learning capability as a stabilizer of practice change (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014; Jerez-Gómez et al., 2005). Accordingly, this review asks: **How do these four domains interact to shape MSME financial performance and resilience in the digital era?**

Theoretical Review

Financial literacy and financial capability

Financial literacy is commonly conceptualized as human capital that supports financial decision quality, influencing behaviors such as budgeting, planning, saving, and borrowing (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). However, definitional and measurement variation is substantial, and "literacy" does not always translate into better outcomes without behavioral and contextual mechanisms (Huston, 2010; Allgood & Walstad, 2016). Large-scale evidence also indicates that average effects of financial education on downstream behavior can be modest, especially when education is not linked to practical routines or when constraints (time, numeracy, uncertainty) remain binding (Fernandes et al., 2014).

A critical behavioral implication is that interventions that reduce complexity and increase immediate action such as rules-of-thumb may be more effective for micro-entrepreneurs than complex training modules (Drexler et al., 2014). Related work also frames financial well being and satisfaction as outcomes mediated by capability and behavior, reinforcing the "knowledge → behavior/capability → outcomes" logic (Xiao & Porto, 2017).

Technology acceptance, trust, and fintech adoption

Technology adoption in organizations is often explained through TAM and UTAUT-family models. TAM emphasizes perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as primary drivers of technology acceptance (Davis, 1989), while UTAUT extends adoption determinants to include social influence and facilitating conditions (Venkatesh et al., 2003). UTAUT2 further refines consumer contexts by adding constructs such as habit and price value (Venkatesh et al., 2012).

In money-related technologies, trust and perceived risk become central. Trust-integrated models show that trust can significantly shape intention and usage alongside usefulness/ease-of-use (Gefen et al., 2003), while perceived risk and uncertainty reduce adoption unless mitigated through governance, safeguards, and credible signals (Pavlou, 2003; Kim et al., 2008). Trust measurement work also provides typologies and instruments that can be adapted for fintech contexts (McKnight et al., 2002). In MSME fintech settings, empirical evidence suggests adoption is influenced by trust, institutional support, and user innovativeness

(Nugraha et al., 2022), consistent with broader fintech ecosystem and disruption narratives (Lee & Shin, 2018; Gomber et al., 2017).

Working-capital management as an operational bridge

Working-capital management (WCM) connects day-to-day operations to cash-flow outcomes through receivables, inventory, and payables decisions. Empirical studies show that WCM efficiency is associated with profitability and liquidity, often operationalized through the cash conversion cycle and its components (Deloof, 2003; García-Teruel & Martínez-Solano, 2007). The relationship is also sensitive to macro conditions; during certain business cycles, WCM discipline may become more valuable for maintaining liquidity and avoiding distress (Enqvist et al., 2014). For MSMEs, this matters because liquidity shocks can materialize even when reported profits appear stable, especially under receivables delays and inventory mismanagement.

Organizational learning capability as a meta-capability

Organizational learning capability refers to the organization's capacity to generate, share, and institutionalize knowledge through experimentation, dialogue, participative decision-making, and interaction with the external environment (Jerez-Gómez et al., 2005). This capability is measurable and has been linked to performance and innovation outcomes through learning orientation and capability pathways (Calantone et al., 2002). For MSMEs, learning capability is particularly important because owner-manager practices can change quickly, but also decay quickly without reinforcement. Learning capability thus functions as a stabilizer that sustains new financial routines and supports adaptation, consistent with broader learning capability measurement research (Chiva et al., 2007) and learning culture perspectives (Marsick & Watkins, 2003).

Research Method

This paper is a conceptual literature review organized using a simplified scoping logic to map constructs, theoretical explanations, and consistent empirical patterns, rather than to produce an exhaustive systematic review or meta-analysis. The reporting intent follows PRISMA-ScR's emphasis on transparent mapping and synthesis (Tricco et al., 2018).

The review draws on peer-reviewed international journal articles across four domains: (1) financial literacy/financial capability and MSME outcomes (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014; Huston, 2010), (2) technology acceptance and trust in digital/fintech contexts (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh et al., 2003; Gefen et al., 2003), (3) working-capital management and profitability/liquidity (Deloof, 2003; García-Teruel & Martínez-Solano, 2007), and (4) organizational learning capability and sustained improvement (Jerez-Gómez et al., 2005; Chiva et al., 2007). Foundational "anchor" studies were retained where needed to establish theoretical grounding (e.g., TAM/UTAUT) (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh et al., 2003; Venkatesh et al., 2012).

Results and Discussion

From literacy to practice: why routines outperform knowledge alone

Across the literature, financial literacy is most consistently associated with improved outcomes when it becomes routinized capability—bookkeeping discipline, budgeting, cost tracking, and separation of personal and business finances (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014; Allgood & Walstad, 2016). The reason is structural: routines generate timely and reliable information flows that reduce decision errors and support corrective action. This is consistent with the observation that financial

education's behavioral impacts depend on practical design and contextual constraints (Fernandes et al., 2014).

A key contribution of the "simplification" approach is that low-cognitive-load training can outperform complex instruction for micro-entrepreneurs. Rules-of-thumb interventions can strengthen business practices and lead to measurable improvements because they convert knowledge into immediate behavior (Drexler et al., 2014). This aligns with models of behavior change in which self-efficacy and perceived control influence sustained practice, suggesting that training should be designed to increase confidence and reduce friction in execution (Bandura, 1977; Ajzen, 1991).

Fintech adoption as efficiency and visibility conditional on trust and enabling conditions

Fintech adoption can improve efficiency (faster transactions, reduced friction) and visibility (digital records that support tracking and reconciliation). However, adoption and continuance depend on more than usefulness and ease-of-use; trust and perceived risk are decisive in financial contexts (Gefen et al., 2003; Pavlou, 2003). Trust and risk perceptions become even more salient for MSMEs due to exposure to fraud, fee opacity, and limited recourse capacity (Kim et al., 2008; McKnight et al., 2002).

Empirical work in emerging economy MSME contexts supports the importance of trust and institutional support as adoption drivers, reinforcing that enabling conditions shape whether fintech contributes to capability improvements (Nugraha et al., 2022). Fintech ecosystem research further suggests that adoption outcomes depend on infrastructure, regulation, interoperability, and business model alignment—factors that determine whether fintech becomes a productivity tool or remains a peripheral payment channel (Lee & Shin, 2018; Gomber et al., 2018).

Working-capital discipline as the cash-flow engine of performance and resilience

Even when MSMEs improve sales, weak working-capital discipline can produce liquidity crises that undermine sustainability. WCM research consistently links profitability to cash conversion efficiency, suggesting that managing receivables and inventory days can improve outcomes (Deloof, 2003; García-Teruel & Martínez-Solano, 2007). Importantly, these routines are not purely "finance techniques"; they are operational controls that determine whether revenue becomes cash in time to cover obligations.

Crisis evidence reinforces the centrality of liquidity and cash buffers. SMEs that did not build cash reserves prior to COVID-19 were more exposed to disruption, indicating that resilience is tightly coupled with liquidity management and cash discipline (Cowling et al., 2020). Business-cycle evidence also implies that WCM policies may have stronger effects during downturns when external finance tightens and cash becomes scarce (Enqvist et al., 2014). This links MSME sustainability to both internal routines and external financing conditions (Beck et al., 2005; Berger & Udell, 2006).

Organizational learning capability as the stabilizer of sustained change

A recurring implementation challenge is the "decay" of financial behaviors: improvements after training may fade without reinforcement. Organizational learning capability helps sustain change by embedding routines (e.g., monthly review, KPI tracking, peer feedback), enabling continuous improvement and adaptation (Jerez-Gómez et al., 2005; Chiva et al., 2007). Learning orientation and capability have

been linked to performance through innovation and adaptation, reinforcing the view that learning capability underpins durable competitiveness (Calantone et al., 2002).

In MSME settings, where processes are informal and owner-dependent, learning capability can substitute for missing formal systems by creating structured habits of reflection and feedback. Learning culture instruments highlight how continuous learning and systems thinking can be cultivated even in smaller organizations, supporting routine adoption and persistence (Marsick & Watkins, 2003). This suggests that financial sustainability programs should not treat learning capability as an optional "soft" factor, but as a mechanism that stabilizes the benefits of literacy and fintech adoption.

Integrative Conceptual Framework

Synthesizing the four domains suggests a coherent explanatory chain. Financial literacy contributes to MSME outcomes primarily when translated into financial management capability routinized bookkeeping, budgeting, and cost control rather than as a static stock of knowledge (Huston, 2010; Fernandes et al., 2014). Fintech adoption can amplify capability by improving transaction efficiency and visibility, but its benefits depend on trust and enabling conditions that support adoption and continuance (Davis, 1989; Gefen et al., 2003; Nugraha et al., 2022). Financial management capability then influences outcomes most directly through cash-flow quality, operationalized via working-capital discipline and cash conversion efficiency (Deloof, 2003; García-Teruel & Martínez-Solano, 2007). Organizational learning capability strengthens this entire chain by sustaining routines and enabling continuous improvement, reducing behavioral decay and supporting adaptation under uncertainty (Jerez-Gómez et al., 2005; Chiva et al., 2007).

This integrated view clarifies why single-factor explanations often produce inconsistent results. For example, literacy without routines may not change outcomes (Fernandes et al., 2014), fintech without trust may not be adopted (Pavlou, 2003; Kim et al., 2008), and improved sales without WCM discipline may not translate into liquidity resilience (Deloof, 2003). The framework therefore supports a capability-bundle perspective that is aligned with MSME finance constraints and digital transformation realities (Berger & Udell, 2006; Lee & Shin, 2018).

International evidence indicates that MSME financial sustainability is best explained through capability bundles rather than single predictors. Financial literacy is most impactful when translated into routines and financial management capability (Huston, 2010; Drexler et al., 2014), fintech adoption improves efficiency and visibility but depends on trust and enabling conditions (Gefen et al., 2003; Nugraha et al., 2022), working-capital discipline converts operational activity into liquidity resilience and profitability (Deloof, 2003; García-Teruel & Martínez-Solano, 2007), and organizational learning capability stabilizes and sustains improvements over time (Jerez-Gómez et al., 2005; Chiva et al., 2007). By integrating these domains, this review provides a robust conceptual foundation for empirical research and practical program design in MSME finance and digital transformation.

Table 1. Core constructs and indicative operational measures (illustrative)

Construct	Working definition	Illustrative indicators	Key references
Financial literacy	Knowledge/understanding applied to financial decisions	interest/inflation/risk items; debt cost awareness	Lusardi & Mitchell (2014); Huston (2010)
Financial management capability	Routinized bookkeeping, budgeting, cost control	bookkeeping frequency; budget review; separation of funds	Drexler et al. (2014); Fernandes et al. (2014)
Fintech adoption/readiness	Use/readiness to use digital finance tools	perceived usefulness/ease; trust; facilitating conditions; payment frequency	Davis (1989); Venkatesh et al. (2003); Gefen et al. (2003); Nugraha et al. (2022)
Working-capital discipline	Cash conversion efficiency and liquidity control	cash conversion cycle; receivable/inventory/payable days	Deloof (2003); García-Teruel & Martínez-Solano (2007); Enqvist et al. (2014)
Organizational learning capability	Capability to learn, adapt, and embed routines	experimentation; dialogue; participative decision-making; knowledge sharing	Jerez-Gómez et al. (2005); Chiva et al. (2007); Marsick & Watkins (2003)
Resilience	Ability to withstand and recover from shocks	liquidity buffers; cash shortfall frequency; recovery time	Cowling et al. (2020); Kuckertz et al. (2020)

Conclusion and Recommendation

Conclusion

For MSME owners and support organizations, the literature recommends shifting from knowledge-centric training to routine engineering. Actionable routines include daily transaction recording, separation of personal and business funds, weekly cash position checks, and monthly working-capital reviews focusing on receivables and inventory (Drexler et al., 2014; Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). Routine design should also address behavior change levers self-efficacy and perceived control to support persistence (Bandura, 1977; Ajzen, 1991).

Fintech should be integrated gradually: digital payments first to create reliable transaction logs, followed by simplified dashboards to support bookkeeping and cash-flow review. Adoption efforts should explicitly manage trust and perceived risk

through transparency, security practices, and accessible dispute resolution, because trust strongly influences sustained usage in money-related systems (Gefen et al., 2003; McKnight et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2008).

At the ecosystem level, policies that strengthen enabling conditions digital infrastructure, consumer protection, and interoperability help convert fintech availability into MSME outcomes (Lee & Shin, 2018; Gomber et al., 2018). MSME financing constraints are also shaped by legal and financial systems; reducing information asymmetry and strengthening financial inclusion mechanisms can support growth (Beck et al., 2005; Berger & Udell, 2006). Where fintech is used as an inclusion tool, policy should prioritize trust infrastructure and risk mitigation, consistent with trust-risk adoption models (Pavlou, 2003; Kim et al., 2008).

Recommendation

Future research can strengthen the field by moving beyond direct-effect models and focusing on mechanisms, boundary conditions, and long-term outcomes. First, empirical studies should test whether the effects of financial literacy on performance are largely mediated by financial management capability and routine adherence, reflecting evidence that education effects can be modest unless routinized (Fernandes et al., 2014; Xiao & Porto, 2017). Second, fintech research should emphasize continuance, routinization, and performance consequences, integrating trust and perceived risk more explicitly, because fintech outcomes depend on governance and credibility signals (Gefen et al., 2003; Pavlou, 2003; McKnight et al., 2002). Third, MSME finance outcomes should be expanded from profit/sales to resilience metrics such as liquidity buffers, cash shortfall frequency, recovery time, and survival probability, reflecting crisis-era evidence on cash fragility (Cowling et al., 2020; Kuckertz et al., 2020). Finally, organizational learning capability should be operationalized more rigorously in MSME finance studies as a stabilizer of sustained change, using established measures and mixed-method approaches to capture embedded routines (Jerez-Gómez et al., 2005; Chiva et al., 2007; Marsick & Watkins, 2003).

This paper is a conceptual literature review guided by a simplified scoping logic and therefore does not claim exhaustive database coverage or meta-analytic effect estimation. The contribution lies in integrating theory streams into a coherent explanatory framework and offering a structured agenda for future empirical testing.

References

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*(2), 179–211.

Allgood, S., & Walstad, W. B. (2016). The effects of perceived and actual financial literacy on financial behaviors. *Economic Inquiry, 54*(1), 675–697.

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review, 84*(2), 191–215.

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2005). Financial and legal constraints to growth: Does firm size matter? *The Journal of Finance, 60*(1), 137–177.

Berger, A. N., & Udell, G. F. (2006). A more complete conceptual framework for SME finance. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 30*(11), 2945–2966.

Bongomin, G. O. C., Ntayi, J. M., Munene, J. C., & Nabeta, I. N. (2016). Social capital: Mediator of financial literacy and financial inclusion in rural Uganda. *Review of International Business and Strategy, 26*(2), 291–312.

Bongomin, G. O. C., Ntayi, J. M., Munene, J. C., & Malinga, C. A. (2017). Financial literacy and financial inclusion in developing economies: Evidence from Uganda. *Review of International Business and Strategy, 27*(4), 520–538.

Calantone, R. J., Cavusgil, S. T., & Zhao, Y. (2002). Learning orientation, firm innovation capability, and firm performance. *Industrial Marketing Management, 31*(6), 515–524.

Chiva, R., Alegre, J., & Lapiedra, R. (2007). Measuring organisational learning capability among the workforce. *International Journal of Manpower, 28*(3–4), 224–242.

Cowling, M., Brown, R., & Rocha, A. (2020). Did you save some cash for a rainy COVID-19 day? The crisis and SMEs. *International Small Business Journal, 38*(7), 593–604.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319–340.

Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 30*(3–4), 573–588.

Drexler, A., Fischer, G., & Schoar, A. (2014). Keeping it simple: Financial literacy and rules of thumb. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 6*(2), 1–31.

Enqvist, J., Graham, M., & Nikkinen, J. (2014). The impact of working capital management on firm profitability in different business cycles: Evidence from Finland. *International Review of Financial Analysis, 32*, 36–49.

Fernandes, D., Lynch, J. G., Jr., & Netemeyer, R. G. (2014). Financial literacy, financial education, and downstream financial behaviors. *Management Science, 60*(8), 1861–1883.

Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. (2003). Trust and TAM in online shopping: An integrated model. *MIS Quarterly, 27*(1), 51–90.

García-Teruel, P. J., & Martínez-Solano, P. (2007). Effects of working capital management on SME profitability. *International Journal of Managerial Finance, 3*(2), 164–177.

Gomber, P., Koch, J.-A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital finance and FinTech: Current research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Economics, 87*(5), 537–580.

Gomber, P., Kauffman, R. J., Parker, C., & Weber, B. W. (2018). On the FinTech revolution: Interpreting the forces of innovation, disruption, and transformation in financial services. *Journal of Management Information Systems, 35*(1), 220–265.

Huston, S. J. (2010). Measuring financial literacy. *Journal of Consumer Affairs, 44*(2), 296–316.

Jerez-Gómez, P., Céspedes-Lorente, J., & Valle-Cabrera, R. (2005). Organizational learning capability: A proposal of measurement. *Journal of Business Research, 58*(6), 715–725.

Kim, D. J., Ferrin, D. L., & Rao, H. R. (2008). A trust-based consumer decision-making model in electronic commerce: The role of trust, perceived risk, and their antecedents. *Decision Support Systems, 44*(2), 544–564.

Kuckertz, A., Brändle, L., Gaudig, A., Hinderer, S., Morales Reyes, C. A., Prochotta, A., Steinbrink, K. M., & Berger, E. S. C. (2020). Startups in times of crisis—A rapid response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights, 13*, e00169.

Lee, I., & Shin, Y. J. (2018). Fintech: Ecosystem, business models, investment decisions, and challenges. *Business Horizons, 61*(1), 35–46.

Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature, 52*(1), 5–44.

Marsick, V. J., & Watkins, K. E. (2003). Demonstrating the value of an organization's learning culture: The dimensions of the learning organization questionnaire. *Advances in Developing Human Resources, 5*(2), 132–151.

McKnight, D. H., Choudhury, V., & Kacmar, C. (2002). Developing and validating trust measures for e-commerce: An integrative typology. *Information Systems Research, 13*(3), 334–359.

Nugraha, D. P., Setiawan, B., Nathan, R. J., & Fekete-Farkas, M. (2022). Fintech adoption drivers for innovation for SMEs in Indonesia. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 8*(4), 208.

Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce, 7*(3), 101–134.

Petersen, M. A., & Rajan, R. G. (1994). The benefits of lending relationships: Evidence from small business data. *The Journal of Finance, 49*(1), 3–37.

Thakur, R., & Srivastava, M. (2014). Adoption readiness, personal innovativeness, perceived risk, and usage intention across customer groups for mobile payment services. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 32*(4), 289–309.

Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., … Straus, S. E. (2018). PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine, 169*(7), 467–473.

Van Rooij, M., Lusardi, A., & Alessie, R. (2011). Financial literacy and stock market participation. *Journal of Financial Economics, 101*(2), 449–472.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly, 27*(3), 425–478.

Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *MIS Quarterly, 36*(1), 157–178.

Xiao, J. J., & Porto, N. (2017). Financial education and financial satisfaction: Financial literacy, behavior, and capability as mediators. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 35*(5), 805–817.